



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013 BJEMT 4041
Title of the Manuscript:	HBCU Students and Career Opportunities: Self-Evaluation in the Job Market
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The topic is interesting and research method is good, but research construct with major concerns. 1. "Academic achievement" is not suitable to be treated as an independent variable, since this journal is an Economic, Management and Trade journal. It may treat as a dependent variable to influence "Perception of Employment Opportunities". 2. In Introduction and the beginning of Literature Review, should describe the reasons why introduce those dependent variables (Self-Evaluation in the Job Market) to predict Perception of Employment Opportunities? 3. Should mention what is the mean of "HUCB students"?	1. "Academic Achievement" was not treated as an independent variable. In our model, "Academic Achievement" is our second dependent variable. 2. The reviewer indicated that we did not mention the meaning of "HBCU's students". Therefore, we provided the full definition of the acronym "HBCU." 3. As per the suggestion provided by Reviewer Number Two, we revised the Introduction section so that it now includes the reasons we introduced those dependent variables.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		